EXEGESIS OF ACTS 12:1-16

Janet Eriksson

May 8, 2017

1 Now about that time Herod the king laid hands on some who belonged to the church in
order to mistreat them. **2** And he had James the brother of John put to death with a
sword. **3** When he saw that it pleased the Jews, he proceeded to arrest Peter also.
Now it was during the days of Unleavened Bread. **4** When he had seized him, he put him
in prison, delivering him to four squads of soldiers to guard him, intending after the
Passover to bring him out before the people. **5** So Peter was kept in the prison, but
prayer for him was being made fervently by the church to God.

6 On the very night when Herod was about to bring him forward, Peter was sleeping
between two soldiers, bound with two chains, and guards in front of the door were
watching over the prison. **7** And behold, an angel of the Lord suddenly appeared and a
light shone in the cell; and he struck Peter's side and woke him up, saying, "Get up
quickly." And his chains fell off his hands. **8** And the angel said to him, "Gird yourself
and put on your sandals." And he did so. And he *said to him, "Wrap your cloak around
you and follow me." **9** And he went out and continued to follow, and he did not know that
what was being done by the angel was real, but thought he was seeing a
vision. **10** When they had passed the first and second guard, they came to the iron gate
that leads into the city, which opened for them by itself; and they went out and went
along one street, and immediately the angel departed from him. **11** When Peter came to
himself, he said, "Now I know for sure that the Lord has sent forth His angel and
rescued me from the hand of Herod and from all that the Jewish people were
expecting." **12** And when he realized *this*, he went to the house of Mary, the mother
of John who was also called Mark, where many were gathered together and were
praying. **13** When he knocked at the door of the gate, a servant-girl named Rhoda came
to answer. **14** When she recognized Peter's voice, because of her joy she did not open
the gate, but ran in and announced that Peter was standing in front of the gate. **15** They
said to her, "You are out of your mind!" But she kept insisting that it was so. They kept
saying, "It is his angel." **16** But Peter continued knocking; and when they had opened *the
door*, they saw him and were amazed. (NASB)

Genre and Boundaries

Acts 12:1-16 is a historiographical narrative that includes rhetorical humor to gain the hearer's attention.[1] In the passage that precedes it, readers learn of hardship that will face the Jerusalem church, even as the gospel is taken to the Gentiles. The opening boundary zeroes in on one such trial. The closing boundary concludes the story of Agrippa I's repression and God's deliverance, a story of power reversal that anticipates Agrippa I's demise. Wall notes a transition of authority following this passage, in which Peter's departure mirrors Jesus' ascension;[2] verse 17 begins a transition and synthesis.

Social, Cultural, Historical, Literary Context

Economic disparity in Palestine[3] illuminates many elements in this story: Herod's power interests; stakes for Jewish leaders; shame of imprisonment; and the characteristic Lukan reversal of status, seen through the faithful response of a servant-girl. According to F. Spencer, a servant-girl would be "vulnerable to exploitation and abuse."[4] Similarly, Christians are exploited by Agrippa I. Luke's story ends with a reversal of expectations: Peter is released from Agrippa I's power; Rhoda, the servant-girl is elevated above those who have disparaged her. While socioeconomic realities of slavery and gender are reflected in this passage, the power shift through faithful discipleship offers the promise of God's kingdom equality to those marginalized.[5]

In a climate of famine and sedition, Agrippa I (Herod) placates Roman and Jewish leadership, leaving the church as a scapegoat.[6] Jewish perception of Agrippa I might be ambiguous, as he aligned himself with Caligula (who threatened Temple desecration) as well as Claudius (more conciliatory toward Jewish tradition).[7] The actions that led to Agrippa I's death would have been considered idolatrous by Jews.[8]

Immediately preceding this passage is a prediction of famine and a message from the Holy Spirit to bring the gospel to the Gentiles. Directly following this passage, Agrippa I is struck down by God. Then, Paul preaches God's deliverance. Jewish leaders, called as light to the Gentiles, turn against the church. Paul declares God's kingdom is entered through tribulations, and salvation in Christ is open to the Gentiles.

Exegetical/Theological Problems

12:1: Herod Agrippa I reigned from AD 41-44 through assistance from Caligula and support of Claudius. He treated the church as a scapegoat for the problems in Palestine.[9] Taylor suggests the church had become marginalized from the Jewish population,[10] suffering violence in the absence of community support. As Peterson observes, naming Agrippa I "Herod" connects him with "Herod the Tetrach of Galilee," a conspirator against Jesus,[11] further emphasizing the "threat to Peter and the church."[12]

As Luke zeroes in on the trials of the Jerusalem church, he builds a bridge to the persecuted church in other lands where the gospel is spreading.[13] Witherington suggests Luke is preparing Theophilus "for the sort of difficulties he would face as a person of some station in an environment not very congenial to the Christian faith."[14] Luke anchors the church with the suffering, death, and resurrection of Christ – a vital connection in this turning point where the church begins to move outward.

Witherington adds that Luke's account of persecution explains why Jerusalem is no longer a safe home base for missionaries.[15] Taylor suggests early Christians would have seen Agrippa I as the type of character who, unlike Jesus, would have accepted Satan's offer to rule the kingdoms of the world (Luke 4:5-7).[16] Wall contrasts Agrippa I's

destructive actions with the supportive response of the Antiochan Christians toward the Jerusalem church (Acts 11:27-30).[17] The focus on Antioch in Acts 13 is no surprise.

12:2: James (the brother of John) is distinguished from the other James (the brother of Jesus). Peterson highlights additional significance to these names: fulfillment of "the prophecy of Jesus in Mark 10:39,"[18] by which Jesus says the brothers will drink from the same cup He was about to drink, meaning death, as a result of Herod's conspiracy with the Jewish leadership. This tie-in highlights a deeper connection between the suffering of the Jerusalem church and the suffering of Christ.

The sword evokes several images: John the Baptist's execution by Herod of Galilee;[19] the sword that pierced Jesus' side;[20] Herod's response to a perceived political threat.[21] Taylor cites Tertullian that the Herods were considered messianic figures,[22] and possibly Agrippa I was attempting to position himself as a savior to Israel.[23]

Witherington adds that killing by the sword is characteristic of Roman executions, demonstrating Agrippa I's effort to wield Roman power against the church.[24] Killing an original apostle represents an attempt to destroy church leadership;[25] Taylor calls this a "judicial execution" striking at the heart of the Christian community[26] and raising the stakes regarding Peter's imprisonment.[27] Thomas notes an element of satire in Luke's accounts of conflict between God and earthly rulers.[28] Swords – and prisons (verse 3) – represent earthly power at its utmost. Yet this story leads to God's "uncrowning" of Agrippa I in the passage that follows.[29]

In contrast to Acts 1:26, James is not immediately replaced.[30] Luke sets up his readers for the transition to post-apostolic leadership centered on Rome.[31] Here is

another Lukan reversal: Agrippa I's attempt to stop the church on behalf of Rome only results in furthering God's transition plan to grow the church and include Rome.

12:3: Though the threat to the church has escalated to the king,[32] he maintains favor with the Jewish leadership,[33] part of the elite class[34] who depend on the status quo. The arrest is an exercise of earthly power. The Greek συλλαμβάνω indicates "to take into custody – seize, grasp, apprehend … capture,"[35] indicating possession and control, with a symbolic connotation of control by a spiritual enemy (i.e., Satan), not just temporal. The emphasis on Herod's control sets up a contrast when in Acts 12:11, Peter is released from the "hand of Herod." God takes Peter out of Herod's armed and fortified possession – an act of deliverance symbolizing freedom from spiritual oppression.

The Passover setting recalls the arrest of Jesus, as well as the Exodus. Through imagery, Luke prompts remembrance of God's deliverance, completed in Jesus' work on the cross. While Witherington advises not to overplay the Exodus connection at the expense of the Christological connection,[36] the Exodus parallel uses a story familiar to Luke's early Jewish readers, and points from the Old Testament to Jesus the Messiah.

Luke also portrays these events to explain the shift toward Rome of post-apostolic leadership.[37] Wall adds that for Luke, "the continual reenactment of the Christ event" shapes the church's mission and "continuation of the Messiah's ministry in his absence.[38] The parallel reassures Luke's audience of a post-apostolic leadership that continues directly from the Messiah's leadership. Luke's emphasis on the work of the Holy Spirit in Acts provides further assurance that Jesus is, in fact, leading His church.

12:4: A public trial is characteristic of Roman practice, as is the changing of prison guards.[39] Peter was renowned for breaking out of prison at night; hence, the

nighttime guards.[40] The imagery is reminiscent of Jesus' arrest. Imprisonment means dishonor and despising, a theme picked up again in verses 13-15.[41] As in verse 2, Luke depicts the king wielding Roman power against the church – significant at this turning point, in which Christianity will spread toward Rome. The stakes are high, apparently stacked against the fledgling church. Yet within a few verses, God will deliver Peter and take down Agrippa I. Luke is transparent about the difficulties the early church will face; but he is equally clear of the church's supernatural support by the power of God.

12:5: Prayer is a common theme throughout Acts. Luke often follows references to prayer with reports of the Holy Spirit's intervention. Peterson connects intercession with "the progress of the gospel and the protection of gospel messengers."[42] What is Luke teaching about prayer? "Fervently" is the same word used to describe "the praying of Jesus in Gethsemane."[43] In reflecting on this Christological model, Wall connects the church's prayers for Peter with Jesus' prayers for Peter in Luke 22:32.[44]

Peterson notes the contrast between the king's authority and the church's authority.[45] For readers who expected the Messiah to assume earthly power, this verse shows the church's appeal, through fervent prayer, to God's true authority in the manner of Jesus in Gethsemane. Through Peter's deliverance, this fervent prayer overshadows Agrippa I's strength. Through the parallels between Peter's release and Jesus' resurrection, fervent prayer is connected with release of prisoners from Satan's power.

In describing a battle between earthly and spiritual kingdoms, this verse raises a question of whether Luke is offering a political message. If so, how does that message relate to the salvation history intended by this narrative? Edsall argues that, while Luke does not depict the Christian leadership as involved in political roles, he is "engaging in

'statecraft' in the broader sense … presenting a vision of the ideal polity that leads to civic and individual virtue."[46] If Luke is engaging his audience on the level of civic virtue, is he perhaps also engaging them on a deeper level of kingdom power?

The kingdom of God is a recurring theme for Luke, and this verse demonstrates kingdom dynamics. Peter is imprisoned, possibly in reaction to a perceived political threat. Rather than pursue political recourse, the church prays fervently. From a political perspective, the contrast is clear: God is not building a political kingdom through the Messiah. Taylor reminds readers that the Messiah is sent to bring redemption to Israel, through a new covenant, not to satisfy Israel's "political aspirations."[47] But the concept of power, an identifying characteristic of politics, is not limited to the practice of politics. Is the concept of "statecraft" differently understood with reference to God's kingdom? In many situations in Acts, prayer is followed by God's intervention through the Holy Spirit. Is prayer a means of "statecraft" in God's kingdom – not in a political sense, but as a means of kingdom-building by the power of the Holy Spirit?

The parallels between Peter's and Jesus' arrests possibly shed light on these questions. In both situations, God intervenes. Initially, the intervention does not seem to meet the definition of political force: Jesus is left to die; and Peter is snuck out of prison via stealth, without political confrontation or statement. Yet as the scope expands to take in the passage that follows (verse 23), God brings an abrupt and absolute end to Agrippa I's reign. And the outward movement of the church, beginning in Acts 13, will bring Paul face to face with political leaders, to whom he will give a verbal defense.

Taylor describes Agrippa I as an "antitype of Jesus," citing the possible historical influences of Agrippa I's reign on the temptation of Jesus portrayed in Luke 4:5-6.[48]

Where Agrippa I seems intent to expand his kingdom, Jesus "rejected the reward of temporal power and kingship."[49] Yet Jesus' rejection of this temptation does not strip Him of power. On the contrary, Luke depicts the power inherent in God's kingdom: power that triumphs over imprisonment (Peter) and execution (Jesus). Immediately following the temptations described by Taylor, Jesus begins His public ministry by declaring He has come to fulfill Isaiah 61 and set the captives free (Luke 4:18). Luke demonstrates how the church, through fervent prayer, invites the Holy Spirit to set Peter free, with deeper implications for those held captive by the spiritual enemy, Satan.

Thus, "statecraft" and power appear in a different light in the kingdom of God. Deliverance comes through death and resurrection. While God does intervene in earthly kingdoms, and in this situation delivers Peter from prison, the real deliverance takes place within the spiritual kingdom. Luke invites an understanding of power, different from that which has oppressed the church, and different from the political justice they seek: the power of a very different kingdom that transcends all other kingdoms.

12:6: Peterson observes that Peter's ability to sleep implies "he had some confidence about his future."[50] A parallel can be found between Peter's calm in sleep, and Jesus' calm, sleeping in the boat during the storm in Luke 8:22-25. P. Spencer draws a different parallel between Peter's prison sleep and the disciples' slumber in Luke 22:39-46.[51] Peter's sleep might also be symbolic of death. Wall notes similarities between Peter's prison and Jesus' tomb: the blocked exit and the guards to prevent escape.[52] Luke has already linked prison and death on Peter's behalf in Luke 22:33.[53]

The high level of security Agrippa I affixes to Peter, and the watchfulness of the guards, contrast with the events of verses 15-16. It seems Agrippa I is more prepared

for Peter to escape than those who are praying, and even than Peter himself (verse 11). Luke attributes watchfulness to Peter's captors, whereas Peter's supporters should be watchful (Luke 12:35-38). Peterson notes the nighttime setting indicates a parallel with the Exodus.[54] The guards and chains also represent demonic oppression.

12:7: God's supernatural interaction with Peter in Acts 10 prepares the reader for God's intervention here. The need to strike Peter indicates his soundness of sleep.[55] The Luke 8:22-25 parallel continues: it takes as much effort to wake Peter as it did to wake Jesus in the boat. While the Greek πατάσσω (to strike) is normally negative, the connotation is not negative here.[56] By using the same word in contrast between verses 7 and 23, Luke demonstrates it is the same force of God's power that strikes down Agrippa I and also wakes and delivers Peter (from chains symbolizing oppression). The implication is that all aspects of deliverance are by the full power of God's hand. Peterson notes the similarities between the speed of Peter's escape and the sudden departure leading to the Exodus.[57] But as the next verse shows, Peter is not prepared.

The juxtaposition of light and wakefulness echoes Isaiah 60:1-3. The light brings to mind Isaiah 9:2, reminding readers that the Messiah has brought God's light into darkness. In Acts 12:7 and Acts 9:3, light reveals both blindness and truth. In Acts 13:47, Paul reminds the Jews they are to be a light to the Gentiles (Isaiah 49:6 and Luke 2:32). By opposing the early church, Jewish leadership opposes their calling, revealing blindness in the face of truth. Acts 12:7 represents God's light, through the Messiah (the imagery reflects Luke 2:9-11), providing deliverance for Jews and Gentiles alike, if they will awaken and see. Wall equates the spiritual blindness in Acts 12 with the spiritual blindness of the disciples on the Emmaus road (Luke 24:13-35).[58] For Luke,

sight emerges from persistence: continuation on the Emmaus road; and Peter's persistent knocking (Acts 12:16).[59]

A further connection with deliverance can be seen by comparing the angel's command for Peter to "Get up quickly" with Paul's command in Acts 14:10 for a crippled man to "Stand upright on your feet." These are also similar to Jesus' command for the dead little girl: "Child, arise!" (Luke 8:54). These similarities deepen the imagery of deliverance that begins in Peter's cell, and extend those images to spiritual deliverance through the resurrection power of Christ – not only deliverance from spiritual oppression but also deliverance from death to life. With the Jewish Christians preparing to carry the gospel message to the Gentiles, Luke has found a key place in his narrative to remind them of the central truth of that message.

12:8: The Greek ὑποδέω means "to bind beneath … of footwear;" the word is also used in Mark 6:9 and Ephesians 6:15.[60] Thus, the action is associated with putting on spiritual armor of the gospel of peace, and also being sent out and given spiritual authority over unclean spirits. Luke shows his readers the level of watchfulness required, with an expectation of deliverance, through strengthening (girding) themselves with the covering (cloak) God has given them in Christ. This covering, reminiscent of the Passover doorpost blood, could also represent the Holy Spirit, faith, truth, and prayer, as Luke shows in this passage how each element avails the reader to Christ. Covering can also represent God's forgiveness. In contrast to the prison's fortifications, Peter is taking on God's fortifications, exchanging one bond for another (foreshadowing Acts 20:22-24). Dressing depicts reality, rather than vision, and going out to the people, not withdrawing (a possible contrast with verse 10). Continuing the Exodus parallel, P.

Spencer notes that Peter has to be told to dress, whereas the ancient Israelites were ready (Exodus 12:11).[61] Thus, Luke creates urgency for response to the gospel.

12:9: This verse brings to mind the angel sent by God in Acts 10:20, in which Peter did experience both vision and real encounter, in answer to Cornelius's prayers; after which, Peter preached the good news of Jesus healing those oppressed by Satan, and the movement of the Holy Spirit to the Gentiles. Even though Peter is uncertain whether he is in a vision or being released from prison, the message is real. This is important to know for the early church, which is learning to navigate by the Holy Spirit.

12:10: The probable location of Peter's imprisonment "in the Antonia tower"[62] creates imagery of imprisonment between the Temple and the city, which reflects the location of the early church ministering in homes (Acts 18:7; Luke 4:38). This imagery also reflects the church's movement from Judaic roots (Temple) to Gentiles (city).

While meeting in homes could reflect opposition from synagogues,[63] P. Spencer offers a different possibility: by contrast with Paul's public determination, those gathered for prayer in Acts 12:12 could be seen as withdrawing.[64] P. Spencer extends this contrast to the watchful servants of Luke 12:35-38: Rhoda answers the knock and responds to Peter's voice; the others do not.[65] Which interpretation is correct? Possibly both. This passage is a turning point and the gospel will spread outwardly, despite Jewish opposition. But with the negative connotation of Peter's imprisonment, it is likewise compelling to view his prison location as symbolic of Christians avoiding public interaction (Acts 12:12), perhaps fearful, or un-expectant, and not watching for God to act, even in response to their prayers. This fits Luke's theme that eyes need to be open, and connects with his message of watchful servants, with a warning to stay alert.

12:11: The imagery is similar to Jesus' experience. Though Jesus was crucified, He was also delivered from death, just as Peter is. Rescue symbolizes deliverance, which should be expected by the Jewish people, but is not. This verse echoes Acts 10:38-44, in which Peter preaches the good news to the Gentiles after an angelic vision.

12:12: Given the role John Mark will play in the next stages of mission in Acts, Peterson notes, "John Mark provides a personal link between the Jerusalem Christians and the mission to the Gentiles."[66] Van der Bergh contrasts the "safe" space, where people are praying, with the "dangerous" space in which Peter has been confined.[67]

12:13: A servant and a gate indicate a home of some wealth.[68] As Witherington notes, even amid oppression the early church has access to places where they can flourish.[69] The servant-girl is named, drawing attention and indicating emphasis. She will be dismissed within the story, but the reader is not to dismiss her significance. Rhoda represents a bridge for Peter, from hostility to safety.[70] Yet her joy and witness are dismissed, leaving Peter in hostile territory. Thus, Luke teaches the response to the gospel – faithful, persistent joy and witness – that opens the door to God's kingdom.

The running slave echoes rhetorical tools used in Greco-Roman narratives to produce humor and gain the hearer's attention, here highlighting the role reversal and subversion of power demonstrated throughout this passage.[71] Thomas observes how Luke also uses satire and carnivalesque elements to depict a reversal of power.[72] Carnival brings artistic elements to satirize something sacred, in this case, the status quo. The result is an "uncrowning,"[73] as with Agrippa I. Rhoda's name means "rosebud," which highlights the smallness of her status,[74] and yet also symbolizes prophetically the beauty and fulfillment that comes in the opening of God's kingdom doors.

12:14: Van der Bergh contrasts Rhoda's action with the guards who do nothing to stop Peter.[75] Luke deposits action and watchfulness in a servant-girl, and a lack of response in professional guards hired to be on watch for the king, thus deepening the watchfulness message. Van der Bergh describes the journey from prison to home as from danger to safety.[76] Yet P. Spencer notes it is easier for Peter to move past the heavily guarded, iron gate of the prison than to gain access to a simple gate in a believer's home.[77] Rhoda's joyful response also foreshadows Acts 13:52.

12:15: Peter's angel might indicate a guardian angel or a reference to a belief that a person's spirit lingers after death.[78] The latter might explain the surprise at Peter's release from prison. Luke sets up a contrast, according to Van der Bergh, between verse 15 and verses 9-11. In the earlier scene, Peter does not know whether he is experiencing something real or in a vision. In verse 15, the people accuse Rhoda of being lost in her own vision.[79] When these verses are considered together, Peter and Rhoda experience what is real; while the people praying insist on a reality that is not true. Only Rhoda recognizes the truth from the beginning; even Peter does not recognize reality until the angel departs and Peter comes to himself (verses 10-11).

In the Greek, the first accusation against Rhoda is "Μαίνῃ," meaning, "You are mad." Morton cites this as a comedic tool.[80] However, the humor is transformed, because Rhoda is speaking the truth.[81] A similar charge of madness against Paul (Acts 26:24) positions Rhoda and Paul as defenders of the Resurrection and resolute in the face of dismissals.[82] F. Spencer suggests labeling is also a "common strategy for invalidating testimony,"[83] with implications for prophetic voices in Luke-Acts. Rhoda's truth-speaking is also significant in the context of Acts 2:17-18,[84] with the caveat that not

everyone will hear – a common theme for Luke. Similarly to the women at Jesus' tomb (Luke 24:10), Rhoda is distinguished not for being an unheard woman, but rather joins the company of prophetic voices unheeded (including Jesus:[85] Luke 4:24-30). Rhoda's insistence (imperfect tense in the Greek)[86] has prophetic overtones. It also represents the response Luke desires to see from disciples to the gospel message, as similar to the persistence and repeated telling (again, imperfect tense) of the women at Jesus' tomb.[87] The desired response is "hearing and acting on the word."[88]

Luke sets up a characteristic reversal through distinctions between Rhoda and the Greco-Roman running slave: unlike a running slave, Rhoda tells the truth, reversing the charges of madness; Rhoda is not bumbling, but rather, her steadfastness shows that the crowd and even Peter are bumbling; and Rhoda is not looking for a reward, as a running slave character would.[89] These humorous elements and the unexpected results they produce bring attention to the reversal of power in God's kingdom.

P. Spencer notes that Luke does not always equate prayer with "faithful discipleship."[90] In verse 5, Luke contrasts the power of prayer with worldly power. And yet, these praying disciples are unable to see and believe the results of prayer. The key distinction between these disciples and Rhoda is watchfulness, perhaps related to the fervent quality of prayer in verse 5. Thus, Luke (echoing Luke 22:39-46) shows his readers the type of watchful prayer needed by disciples of Christ.

12:16: This verse validates Rhoda's words, preparing the reader for the reversal of power in the death of Agrippa I, with elements that mirror the Roman carnival of Saturnalia, in which masters serve slaves for a day.[91] Luke's message is two-fold: those in power (Agrippa I) are subverted; yet the real Master, Jesus, also comes to serve,

which is why Agrippa I's refusal to serve cannot stand. Peter also experiences a role reversal in contrast to Luke 24:12.[92] At the tomb, Peter had to see with his own eyes; now, he is on the receiving end of such doubt. This contrast underscores the humility of how easy it is to succumb to lack of faith, and that faith must be cultivated.

The socioeconomic significance runs deep in a society in which honor and shame are the most pivotal determinants of well-being, even more than finances.[93] For a servant-girl to be vindicated by an action of God reveals a reversal of cultural norms that would appeal to anyone on the margins of society, hearing the good news. Is Luke writing for an educated audience of higher socioeconomic status, or is his narrative also intended for the marginalized of society? Keener suggests, "Luke's ideal audience appears to be urban, Greek, and perhaps in officially romanized cities."[94] Witherington suggests Rhoda is part of the prayer gathering, and thus an early Christian.[95]

The theme of status reversal is common throughout Luke-Acts and must be seen in light of Luke 2:8-11, announcing a Savior "for all the people" (Luke 2:10). Luke clearly provides a message of hope for those who are marginalized, dishonored, and impoverished, who otherwise would have no hope of changing their circumstances. Whether a servant-girl would hear Luke's narrative directly; or be the beneficiary of someone of higher status, urged by Luke's narrative to care for the poor and bring honor to those who live in shame; the message is still the same: God's kingdom does not run on earthly standards, and the Savior, Jesus the Messiah, is for everyone.

Interpretation

Luke's readers discover that through a time of trials, famine, and sword, God delivers His people into His kingdom. Many of the Jewish people, called as a light to the

Gentiles, continue through spiritual blindness to turn against the real Light, Jesus Christ. In the ways Peter's arrest and deliverance parallel the death and resurrection of Christ, Luke reminds his readers that God's kingdom comes through death and resurrection, not in the way the people expect. Luke demonstrates the authority described by Jesus (Luke 9:1, 10:19) and connects the release of that authority with fervent prayer.

Depicting the role reversals inherent in God's kingdom, Luke holds up a mirror for those in power and those on the margins to see themselves through God's kingdom lens. P. Spencer notes that for Luke, "authentic discipleship shows no favoritism,"[96] and "authentic understanding occurs only when eyes, minds, and gates are opened."[97] The opening of the door in Acts 12:16 foreshadows Acts 14:27, where Paul declares God has opened a door of faith to the Gentiles. Acts 12:1-16 offers a teaching about faith that the Gentiles, as well as Jewish Christians, will need to learn: prayer without fervent expectation will not yield responsive discipleship. It is the wakeful, watchful servant, who responds to the Word, who will see the reversals of power in the kingdom of God.

Application

The power reversals of God's kingdom were different than earthly kingdoms at the time of Luke's writing. Two millennia later, we might have a better mental understanding of how God works in our midst. But our hearts often lack an understanding of how to respond to God's kingdom. We still do not walk fully in our authority, with full awareness that the Holy Spirit reigns in us. Luke offers practical guidance for how to hear and respond to God's Word: watchfulness; fervent prayer; an expectation of power reversals without getting caught on the worldly side; persistent, joyful witness; and helping those who are marginalized. Our faithful response is a key to taking the gospel to the world.

Reflective Summary

The importance of responding to God is a theme throughout Luke-Acts. In Acts 12:1-16, Luke demonstrates for his readers an appropriate response to the gospel. As Christians, we have a responsibility to respond – not only for our sake, but also for the sake of others. Luke shows in this passage how our response to the gospel opens doors to the kingdom of heaven for those around us (Acts 12:14-16). While Jesus is the Door to salvation, the way we live and invite His presence makes Him visible to others. How we respond matters.

Expectancy is important. Luke teaches that we are to pray with the same expectancy as Jesus in the Garden of Gethsemane (Acts 12:5). Through His prayers, Jesus released the power of eternal life. As Christians, we are filled with the same Holy Spirit that moved Jesus' prayers. Why should our prayers be any less powerful or expectant? When we pray, we should be releasing the same power of heaven that Christ brought to us on the cross. We should expect deliverance from the kingdom of darkness into the kingdom of light through our prayers (Acts 12:7).

Praying with expectancy requires living by the power of the Holy Spirit, continuously fortified by the presence of God. It also means dying to our fleshly ways and to the wickedness in our hearts. Luke shows us the kingdom of God comes through death and resurrection. When we allow our carnal nature to die with Jesus, His resurrection life comes alive in and through us. Through His life in us, we are able to help and encourage others, as they die to their flesh and find new life in Christ. It is on the resurrection side of the cross that we must learn how to navigate by the Holy Spirit, and be ready to respond to His leading, even when we lack understanding.

Luke also shows us that we need to be persistent, joyful witnesses to the truth (Acts 12:14-15). Persistent means telling over and over the gospel of Christ. This is not limited to reading scripture. We live His gospel in many ways, wherever we live and work. Often, we tell of Christ through our actions. In whatever ways we witness to the life of Christ, we need to do this repeatedly, persistently, and always with His joy.

Joy does not mean we will not experience times of sadness, in our own lives and in the lives of others. We might minister to someone in their grief, and we will weep with them. But there is an underlying joy that is part of who we are in Christ, and this always brings hope that we can share with others. When someone has lost hope, we can hope for that person.

Our joy is not defined by what is happening around us. As Christians, we live in times of persecution, even as Luke's first readers lived. If we have genuine joy that comes from Christ's presence, our joy will be unshakeable, no matter what trials we endure. Today, we still endure trials in the name of Jesus. Luke reminds us of the authority, power, and responsibility we have, through Christ, not only to endure, but also to spread the gospel in the midst of trials. Our faith should not be emaciated, but powerful and alive. Through his perspective on fervent, watchful prayer and following the Holy Spirit, Luke teaches how to endure and step into the authority Christ has given us.

Luke also teaches the importance of status reversal in God's kingdom, which shapes our response. God's kingdom offers hope to those oppressed. This understanding comes with responsibility. We need to be that good news for people who are oppressed economically, socially, physically, or emotionally. We know the Savior is

for everyone, but we need to live that truth. Luke invites us to serve others as Christ would serve. We must become aware of people who need to know God wants to lift them up, love them, and bless them. We may be their only source of hearing that good news.

We have the responsibility to take the gospel to people dismissed by society as Rhoda was (Acts 12:13-15). This is a natural outgrowth of first responding to God with the same joyful expectancy as Rhoda. Luke gives Rhoda a name. Many people we encounter do not know God has given them a name, and a unique identity. God calls us to speak life to these people, so they would know who they are to Him.

ENDNOTES

[1] Russell Morton, "Acts 12:1-19," *Interpretation* 55 (2001): 69.
[2] Robert W. Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," *CBQ* 53 (1991): 634.
[3] Sakari Hakkinen, "Poverty in the First-Century Galilee," *Hervormde Teologiese Studies* 72 (2016): 3.
[4] F. Scott Spencer, "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts," *Biblical Interpretation* 7 (1999): 138.
[5] Patrick E. Spencer, "'Mad Rhoda' in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," *CBQ* 79 (2017): 297-298.
[6] N.H. Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: A Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," *JSNT* 24 (2002): 46.
[7] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 43.
[8] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 43.
[9] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 46.
[10] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 47.
[11] David G. Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* Pillar New Testament Commentary (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009), 361.
[12] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[13] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 360.
[14] Ben Witherington III, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1998), 379.
[15] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 383.
[16] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 40.
[17] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 629.
[18] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[19] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[20] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 289.
[21] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[22] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 47.
[23] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 48.
[24] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 385.
[25] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361. See also Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 384.
[26] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 45.

[27] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[28] Michael D. Thomas, "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts," *Perspectives in Religious Studies* 31 (2004): 453.
[29] Thomas, "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts," 454, 456.
[30] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 361.
[31] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 630-631.
[32] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 360.
[33] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 383.
[34] Hakkinen, "Poverty in the First-Century Galilee," 2.
[35] BDAG, 955.
[36] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 381.
[37] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 630, 632, 643.
[38] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 633.
[39] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 385.
[40] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 362.
[41] Hakkinen, "Poverty in the First-Century Galilee," 4.
[42] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 362.
[43] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 362.
[44] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 636.
[45] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 362.
[46] Benjamin A. Edsall, "Persuasion and Force in Acts: A Response to C. Kavin Rowe," *CBQ* 78 (2016): 498.
[47] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 39.
[48] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 49.
[49] Taylor, "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: a Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I," 49.
[50] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 363.
[51] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 296.
[52] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 637.
[53] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 636.
[54] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 364.
[55] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 386.
[56] Mikeal K. Parsons and Martin M. Culy, *Acts: A Handbook on the Greek Text* (Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2007), 234.
[57] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 364.
[58] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 640.
[59] Wall, "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17," 639-640.
[60] BDAG, 1037.
[61] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 288, 295.
[62] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 364.
[63] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 365.
[64] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 294.

[65] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 295.
[66] Peterson, *The Acts of the Apostles,* 365.
[67] Ronald H. Van der Bergh, "The Contrasting Structure of Acts 12:5-17: A Spatial Reading," *Hervormde Teologiese Studies* 69 (2013): 3.
[68] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 386.
[69] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 383.
[70] F. Spencer, "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts," 143.
[71] Morton, "Acts 12:1-19," 67, 69. See also Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary*, 378.
[72] Thomas, "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts," 453.
[73] Thomas, "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts," 454.
[74] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 290.
[75] Van der Bergh, "The Contrasting Structure of Acts 12:5-17: A Spatial Reading," 3.
[76] Van der Bergh, "The Contrasting Structure of Acts 12:5-17: A Spatial Reading," 1.
[77] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 290.
[78] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 387.
[79] Van der Bergh, "The Contrasting Structure of Acts 12:5-17: A Spatial Reading," 3.
[80] Morton, "Acts 12:1-19," 68.
[81] Morton, "Acts 12:1-19," 68.
[82] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 292-293.
[83] F. Spencer, "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts," 140.
[84] F. Spencer, "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts," 134.
[85] Barbara E. Reid, "The Gospel of Luke: Friend or Foe of Women Proclaimers of the Word?" *CBQ* 78 (2016): 20.
[86] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 291.
[87] Reid, "The Gospel of Luke: Friend or Foe of Women Proclaimers of the Word?" 21-22.
[88] Reid, "The Gospel of Luke: Friend or Foe of Women Proclaimers of the Word?" 21.
[89] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 288, 293, 297.
[90] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 295.
[91] Thomas, "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts," 454.
[92] F. Spencer, "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts," 145.
[93] Hakkinen, "Poverty in the First-Century Galilee," 3.
[94] Craig S. Keener, *Acts: An Exegetical Commentary: Introduction and 1:1-2:47* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009), Kindle edition, Loc. 20336.
[95] Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary,* 387.
[96] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 296.
[97] P. Spencer, "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar," 292.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Danker, Frederick William, ed. *A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and other Early Christian Literature, 3rd edition.* BDAG. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1957-2000. 955, 1037.

Edsall, Benjamin A. "Persuasion and Force in Acts: A Response to C. Kavin Rowe." *CBQ* 78 (2016): 483-499.

Hakkinen, Sakari. "Poverty in the First-Century Galilee." *Hervormde Teologiese Studies* 72 (2016): 1-9.

Keener, Craig S. *Acts: An Exegetical Commentary: Introduction and 1:1-2:47.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009. Kindle edition. Loc. 20336.

Morton, Russell. "Acts 12:1-19." *Interpretation* 55 (2001): 67-69.

Parsons, Mikeal K., and Martin M. Culy. *Acts: A Handbook on the Greek Text.* Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2007. 234.

Peterson, David G. *The Acts of the Apostles,* Pillar New Testament Commentary. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009. Kindle edition. 360-365.

Reid, Barbara E. "The Gospel of Luke: Friend or Foe of Women Proclaimers of the Word?" *CBQ* 78 (2016): 1-23.

Spencer, F. Scott. "Out of Mind, Out of Voice: Slave Girls and Prophetic Daughters in Luke-Acts." *Biblical Interpretation* 7 (1999): 133-155.

Spencer, Patrick E. "'Mad' Rhoda in Acts 12:12-17: Disciple Exemplar." *CBQ* 79 (2017): 282-298.

Taylor, N.H. "The Temptation of Jesus on the Mountain: A Palestinian Christian Polemic Against Agrippa I." *JSNT* 24 (2002): 27-49.

Thomas, Michael D. "The World Turned Upside-Down: Carnivalesque and Satiric Elements in Acts." *Perspectives in Religious Studies* 31 (2004): 453-465.

Van der Bergh, Ronald H. "The Contrasting Structure of Acts 12:5-17: A Spatial Reading." *Hervormde Teologiese Studies* 69 (2013): 1-5.

Wall, Robert W. "Successors to 'The Twelve' According to Acts 12:1-17." *CBQ* 53 (1991): 628-643.

Witherington, Ben, III. *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1998. 379-387.